

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,395	11/07/2001	Alan L. Backus	45891/GSL/A641	3764
23363 7	7590 05/31/2002			
•	PARKER & HALE, L		EXAMI	NER
350 WEST CO SUITE 500	DLORADO BOULEVA	RD	BECKER,	DREW E
PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	ARTONI	TAI EN NOMBER
			1761	3
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
Office Action Summers	10/039,395	BACKUS ET AL.				
, Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u>	<u>lovember 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pr Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.	•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept		niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority.	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	eived.	•			
Attachment(s)	7					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
D			_			

Application/Control Number: 10/039,395 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior applications. A statement reading "This is a continuation of Application No. 09/409,172, filed September 30, 1999 now abandoned, which was a continuation of Application No. 08,889,021, filed July 7, 1997 now abandoned." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said constant source" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/039,395 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

5. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bedford et al [Pat. No. 5,639,497].

Bedford et al teach a cooking device comprising a cooking enclosure (Figure 1, 1), an infrared heat source (Figure 3, 12), a motor powering a fan which draws in ambient air as well as circulating heated air (Figures 2-3, #13 & 15; column 6, lines 32-50), an adjustable vent (Figure 3, #49; column 4, lines 59-68), and a rotating food support spit (Figure 1, 5). Furthermore, the phrase "whereby air temperature inside... of heat inside said enclosure" in claim 1 is merely a preferred method of using the claimed apparatus and as such is not given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bedford et al as applied above, in view of McFarland [Pat. No. 2,898,437].

Application/Control Number: 10/039,395

Art Unit: 1761

Bedford et al teach the above mentioned components. Bedford et al do not teach the spit and fan being powered by the same motor. McFarland teaches a cooking device comprising an enclosure (Figure 7, 10'), a radiant heat source (Figure 7, 36'), and a motor which powers both an air circulation fan and a spit (Figure 7; #64', 66', 116). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the single motor construction of McFarland into the invention of Bedford et al since both are directed to convection ovens with rotary spits, since Bedford et al already includes a spit and fan with their respective motors (Figure 2, #13, 15, 31), and since the single motor construction of McFarland teaches the desirability and simplicity of having one motor run both the spit and the fan (column 5, lines 49-57) and the resultant savings in weight, cost, and power consumption to name but a few advantages.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koether et al [Pat. No. 5,485,780] teach a rotisserie oven with forced airflow.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1761

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew Becker May 28, 2002